the SILK ROAD PROJECT at NAOC 丝绸之路 计划



ZENTRUM FÜF ASTRONOMIE iv. Heidelberg

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG

<u>Dynamics of nuclear and globular clusters,</u> neutron stars, black holes, gravitational waves

Rainer Spurzem, and Silk Road Team Kavli-Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics (KIAA), Peking University National Astronomical Observatories (NAOC), Univ. of Chinese Academy of Sciences Astronomisches Rechen-Inst., ZAH, Univ. of Heidelberg, Germany

Picture: Xi Shuang Banna, Yunnan, SW China (R.Sp.) spurzem@ari.uni-heidelberg.de spurzem@nao.cas.cn https://astro-silkroad.eu Dec. 3, 2024 ITP Frankfurt



Volkswagen**Stiftung**

1) Introduction, History, Theory 2) Star Cluster Dynamics with **Black Holes and Gravitational Waves** 3) Nuclear Star Clusters 4) People, Code(s) and Hardware 5) Summary and References

University of Heidelberg: ARI/ZAH, connected with IMPRS Every year graduate school application deadline (November)

https://zah.uni-heidelberg.de/institutes

https://www.imprs-hd.mpg.de/

The **Zentrum für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg (ZAH)** was established in 2005 by joining the Astronomisches Rechen-Institut (ARI), the Landessternwarte Königstuhl (LSW) and the Institut für Theoretische Astrophysik (ITA).

Astronomisches Rechen-Institut (ARI)



Mönchhofstr. 12-14 69120 Heidelberg Tel: 06221 54 1801

Institut für Theoretische Astrophysik (ITA)



Albert-Ueberle-Str. 2 and Philosophenweg 12 69120 Heidelberg

Landessternwarte Königstuhl (LSW)



Königstuhl 12 69117 Heidelberg Tel.: 06221 54 1700

🗧 Self Service 🖙 Contact 🚓 Sitemap





International Max Planck Research School for Astronomy & Cosmic Physics at the University of Heidelberg

ABOUT THE SCHOOL | APPLICATION | RESEARCH | ACADEMICS | PEOPLE | PRACTICAL INFORMATION | SUMMER SCHOOL 2022

IMPRS FELLOWS | MISCELLANEOUS | IMPRS CALENDAR | COVID-19

ch

Astronomisches Rechen-Institut (ARI) at U.f Heidelberg; founded May 10, 1700

Siemens 2002 Computer in 1964 At ARI







7huo li /旅点)



Fukun Liu (刘富坤)

Eric Peng (彭逸西)

Xiaowei Liu (刘晓为)

Subo Dong (东苏勃)

Renxin Xu (徐仁新)



Bing Zhang (张冰)





Hua-wei Zhang (张华伟) Rainer Spurzen



Total ~25 postdocs; 2/3 are non-Chinese.

Founded 2008 **Regular Openings:**

Postdoc Faculty **Visitors** (see AAS Job Reg, search KIAA)



中国科学院园家天文会

NATIONAL ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATORIES , CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES







(coupling of scales)

$$\vec{a}_0 = \sum_j Gm_j \frac{\vec{R}_j}{R_j^3} \ ; \ \vec{a}_0 = \sum_j Gm_j \bigg[\frac{\vec{V}_j}{R_j^3} - \frac{3(\vec{V}_j \cdot \vec{R}_j)\vec{R}_j}{R_j^5} \bigg]$$

• $N = \infty$

negative specific Heat

gravothermal Collapse

gravothermal Oscillations

• $N = 3 \ (N = 2, ..., \approx 100)$

History

Exponential Instability

Chaos and Resonance

Regularisation

• $N = 10^6 (N = 10^4, 10^5)$

Post-Kollaps-Evolution

Binaries

Globular Clusters



(Manage deterministic chaos)

Chaos in 3-body Encounters Starlab Simulation (S.L.W. McMillan)

http://www.physics.drexel.edu/~steve/

Gravothermal Oscillations -Attractor in Phase Space Spurzem 1994, Giersz & Spurzem 1994 Amaro-Seoane, Freitag & Sp. 2004





Fig. 3: Projected three-dimensional attractor for N = 100.000 system, $x = \log \rho'_c$, $y = \log \sigma'_c$, $z = \xi$.

(multiple time scales)

Millisecond Pulsars
Dynamical Time Scale
Relaxation Time Scale
Age of Universe

$$t_{
m cr} = rac{r_h}{\sigma_h} \,, \ 10^{-3} \,\, {
m sec} \ 10^6 \,\, {
m yrs} \ t_{
m rx} = rac{9}{16\sqrt{\pi}} rac{\sigma^3}{G^2 m
ho \ln(\gamma N)} \,. \ 10^{10} \,\, {
m yrs} \ 10^{10} \,\, {
m yrs}$$

Laboratories for gravothermal N-Body Systems!

Note: Cosmological and Galactic N-Body Simulations need few crossing times, and less than a relaxation time, while gravothermal systems need multiples of N crossing times, several complexity goes approx N**2.3!

$$t_{\rm cr} \approx \sqrt{\frac{r_h^3}{GM_h}} \; .$$

$$rac{t_{
m rx}}{t_{
m dyn}} \propto rac{N}{\log(\gamma N)} \; .$$

Relaxation time definitions: Here: Larson, R.B. (1970) – theoretically sound, also see Spurzem & Takahashi (1995); in N-Body Code use: Eq. (8-71) of Binney/Tremaine (BT) Galactic Dynamics (from Spitzer & Hart 1971)

(compare gaseous model with direct N-body integration)

(Spurzem & Aarseth 1996)

(Giersz & Spurzem 1994) (now in Binney/Tremaine)



N-Body / N-Body

N-Body / Fokker-Planck

In spherical symmetry

....but...

Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc. (1980) 191,483–498 Star Clusters: Modelling the Dynamics

On the consequences of the gravothermal catastrophe (all depends on the conductivity / viscosity)

D. Lynden-Bell and P. P. Eggleton Institute of Astronov. The Observatories, Cambridge CB3 OHA 1980

Received 1979 October 9; in original form 1979 July 11

between encounters. In such a stellar system a typical star at any radius moves in and out by about a local Jeans length $\lambda = 1/k_J$ where $k_J^2 v^2 = 4\pi G\rho$. This gives a typical radial distance between encounters. However, the time between those encounters is not λ/v but rather a relaxation time T_r . Hence the energy flux is given by equation (3.5) with $\lambda = k_J^{-1}$ and with τ replaced by T_r

 $\frac{L}{4\pi r^2} = -\rho \cdot \frac{\lambda^2}{\tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{kT}{m} \right),$

$$\frac{L}{4\pi r^{2}} = -\frac{C\rho}{k_{J}^{2}T_{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{3}{2}v^{2}\right)$$

$$= -3GmC(\log N) \frac{\rho}{v} \frac{\partial v^{2}}{\partial r}$$
• Conductivity $\propto \rho/v = \rho T^{-1/2}$
• Based on Jeans Length
• Later: very general
(3.7)
(3.8)

where C is a dimensionless constant of order unity. As Lecar pointed out, this implies a conductivity K proportional to $\rho T^{-1/2}$ or an opacity κ proportional to $T^{3.5}\rho^{-2}$. Notice that the details of the argument are unimportant, for the use of dimensions, coupled with the fact that the heat flux must be proportional to the relaxation rate (T_r^{-1}) gives the same dependence of conductivity on ρ , v. The detailed computation of Hachisu *et al.* (1978) was

1) Introduction, History, Theory 2) Star Cluster Dynamics with **Black Holes and Gravitational Waves** 3) Nuclear Star Clusters 4) People, Code(s) and Hardware 5) Summary and References

DRAGON I Simulation



https://astro-silkroad.eu https://github.com/nbody6ppgpu Also in: https://www.punch4nfdi.de/

One million stars direct simulation,

biggest and most realistic direct N-Body simulation of globular star clusters.

With stellar mass function, single and binary stellar evolution, regularization of close encounters, tidal field (NBODY6++GPU).

(NAOC/Silk Road/MPA collaboration).

Wang, Spurzem, Aarseth, Naab et al.

MNRAS, 2015 Wang, Spurzem, Aarseth Naab, et al.

MNRAS 2016 Number of Floating Point Operations (~1M bodies) similar to largest Cosmological simulations (Millennium, Illustris, ~10¹⁰ bodies)



天龙星团模拟:百万数量级恒星、黑洞和引力波

Dragon Star Cluster Simulations: Millions of Stars; black holes and gravitational waves

- First realistic globular star cluster model with million stars (Wang, Spurzem, Aarseth, ..., Berczik, Kouwenhoven, ... MNRAS 2015, 2016)
- Synthetic CMD (right side) with zero photometric errors, different ages shown
- Black hole binary mergers occur as observed by LIGO. Our grav. waveforms computed from simulation (right side). (Only inspiral plotted not ringdown.)
- GPU accelerated supercomputers laohu in NAOC and hydra of Max-Planck (MPCDF) in Germany needed!



What about black holes? Black Hole Binaries? DRAGON II Models

Black Holes were retained in globular clusters simulations (and formed binaries, gravitational wave emission predicted 2010):

- Before Strader et al. detection
- Before Breen & Heggie
- Before LIGO detection

Downing 2012, Downing, Benacquista, Giersz & Spurzem, 2010, 2011: (see also Banerjee, Baumgardt & Kroupa 2010 but ...)

Compact Binaries in Star Clusters I - Black Hole Binaries Inside Globular Clusters

J. M. B. Downing^{3*}, M. Benacquista⁴, R. Spurzem^{1,2,3}, and M. Giersz⁵

² National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 20A Datum Ln, Chaoyang District, 100012, Ch ² Kavli Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University, Beijing, China

Kuon Instance of Astromany and Astrophysics, resard Conversity, Delmay, China 3 Astromomisches Rechen-Institut, Zentrum für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg, Monchhofsraße 12-14, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

⁴ Astronomisches Rechen-Institut, Zehrrum für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg, Monchnojsrupe 12-14, D-09120 Heidelberg, Germany
⁴ Center for Gravitational Wave Astronomy, University of Texas at Brownsville, Brownsville, TX 78520, USA

⁵Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warsaw, Poland

Compact Binaries in Star Clusters II - Escapers and Detection Rates

J. M. B. Downing^{1,2*}, M. J. Benacquista³, M. Giersz⁴, and R. Spurzem^{5,6,1} ¹Astronomisches Rechen-Institut, Zentrum für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg, Monchhofsraße 12-14, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

² Fellow of the International Max-Planck Research School for Astronomy and Cosmic Physics at the University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

³Center for Gravitational Wave Astronomy, University of Texas at Brownsville, Brownsville, TX 78520, USA

⁴Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warsaw, Poland

⁵National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 20A Datun Rd., Chaoyang District, 100012, China

⁶Kavli Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University, Beijing, China



Post-Newtonian Dynamics

r;v : relative distance, velocity

 $\mu = m_1 m_2 / M$: reduced mass ($M = m_1 + m_2$)

 $v = \mu / M$: mass ratio

 $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{r} / \mathbf{r}$: unit vector in radial direction

$$\frac{dv^{i}}{dt} = -\frac{Gm}{r^{2}} \left[(1+\mathcal{A}) n^{i} + \mathcal{B} v^{i} \right] + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{c^{8}} \right), \qquad (181)$$

and find [43] that the coefficients A and B are

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A} &= \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ -\frac{3\dot{r}^2\nu}{2} + v^2 + 3\nu v^2 - \frac{Gm}{r} \left(4 + 2\nu\right) \right\} & \text{Perihel shift} \\ &+ \frac{1}{c^4} \left\{ \frac{15\dot{r}^4\nu}{8} - \frac{45\dot{r}^4\nu^2}{8} - \frac{9\dot{r}^2\nu v^2}{2} + 6\dot{r}^2\nu^2 v^2 + 3\nu v^4 - 4\nu^2 v^4 \right. & \text{... higher order...} \\ &+ \frac{Gm}{r} \left(-2\dot{r}^2 - 25\dot{r}^2\nu - 2\dot{r}^2\nu^2 - \frac{13\nu v^2}{2} + 2\nu^2 v^2 \right) + \frac{G^2m^2}{r^2} \left(9 + \frac{87\nu}{4}\right) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{c^5} \left\{ -\frac{24\dot{r}\nu v^2}{5} \frac{Gm}{r} - \frac{136\dot{r}\nu}{15} \frac{G^2m^2}{r^2} \right\} \end{split}$$

Grav. Radiation

Schäfer, Gauge Theor. Grav. 36, 2223 (2004) Memmesheimer, Gopakumar, Schäfer, Phys. Rev.D 70, 104011 (2004) Blanchet, Luc; Living Reviews 2002, Ilr-2002-3

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \frac{1}{c^6} \Biggl\{ -\frac{35\dot{r}^6\nu}{16} + \frac{175\dot{r}^6\nu^2}{16} - \frac{175\dot{r}^6\nu^3}{16} + \frac{15\dot{r}^4\nu v^2}{2} - \frac{135\dot{r}^4\nu^2 v^2}{4} + \frac{255\dot{r}^4\nu^3 v^2}{8} \\ &- \frac{15\dot{r}^2\nu v^4}{2} + \frac{237\dot{r}^2\nu^2 v^4}{8} - \frac{45\dot{r}^2\nu^3 v^4}{2} + \frac{11\nu v^6}{4} - \frac{49\nu^2 v^6}{4} + 13\nu^3 v^6 \\ &+ \frac{Gm}{r} \Biggl(79\dot{r}^4\nu - \frac{69\dot{r}^4\nu^2}{2} - 30\dot{r}^4\nu^3 - 121\dot{r}^2\nu v^2 + 16\dot{r}^2\nu^2 v^2 + 20\dot{r}^2\nu^3 v^2 + \frac{75\nu v^4}{4} \\ &+ 8\nu^2 v^4 - 10\nu^3 v^4 \Biggr) \\ &+ \frac{G^2m^2}{r^2} \Biggl(\dot{r}^2 + \frac{32573\dot{r}^2\nu}{168} + \frac{11\dot{r}^2\nu^2}{8} - 7\dot{r}^2\nu^3 + \frac{615\dot{r}^2\nu \pi^2}{64} - \frac{26987\nu v^2}{840} + \nu^3 v^2 \\ &- \frac{-123\nu \pi^2 v^2}{64} - 110\dot{r}^2\nu \ln\left(\frac{r}{r_0'}\right) + 22\nu v^2\ln\left(\frac{r}{r_0'}\right) \Biggr) \\ &+ \frac{G^3m^3}{r^3} \Biggl(-16 - \frac{437\nu}{4} - \frac{71\nu^2}{2} + \frac{41\nu \pi^2}{16} \Biggr) \Biggr\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{c^7} \Biggl\{ \frac{Gm}{r} \Biggl(\frac{366}{35}\nu v^4 + 12\nu^2v^4 - 114v^2\nu\dot{r}^2 - 12\nu^2v^2\dot{r}^2 + 112\nu\dot{r}^4 \Biggr) \\ &+ \frac{G^2m^2}{r^2} \Biggl(\frac{692}{35}\nu v^2 - \frac{724}{15}v^2\nu^2 + \frac{294}{5}\nu\dot{r}^2 + \frac{376}{5}\nu^2\dot{r}^2 \Biggr) \\ &+ \frac{G^3m^3}{r^3} \Biggl(\frac{3956}{35}\nu + \frac{184}{5}\nu^2 \Biggr) \Biggr\}, \tag{182}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B} &= \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ -4\dot{r} + 2\dot{r}\nu \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{c^4} \left\{ \frac{9\dot{r}^3\nu}{2} + 3\dot{r}^3\nu^2 - \frac{15\dot{r}\nu\nu^2}{2} - 2\dot{r}\nu^2\nu^2 + \frac{Gm}{r} \left(2\dot{r} + \frac{41\dot{r}\nu}{2} + 4\dot{r}\nu^2 \right) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{c^5} \left\{ \frac{8\nu v^2}{5} \frac{Gm}{r} + \frac{24\nu}{5} \frac{G^2m^2}{r^2} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{c^6} \left\{ -\frac{45\dot{r}^5\nu}{8} + 15\dot{r}^5\nu^2 + \frac{15\dot{r}^5\nu^3}{4} + 12\dot{r}^3\nu v^2 - \frac{111\dot{r}^3\nu^2v^2}{4} - 12\dot{r}^3\nu^3v^2 - \frac{65\dot{r}\nu v^4}{8} \right. \\ &+ 19\dot{r}\nu^2v^4 + 6\dot{r}\nu^3v^4 \\ &+ \frac{Gm}{r} \left(\frac{329\dot{r}^3\nu}{6} + \frac{59\dot{r}^3\nu^2}{2} + 18\dot{r}^3\nu^3 - 15\dot{r}\nu v^2 - 27\dot{r}\nu^2v^2 - 10\dot{r}\nu^3v^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{G^2m^2}{r^2} \left(-4\dot{r} - \frac{18169\dot{r}\nu}{840} + 25\dot{r}\nu^2 + 8\dot{r}\nu^3 - \frac{123\dot{r}\nu\pi^2}{32} + 44\dot{r}\nu\ln\left(\frac{r}{r_0'}\right) \right) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{c^7} \left\{ \frac{Gm}{r} \left(-\frac{626}{35}\nu v^4 - \frac{12}{5}\nu^2v^4 + \frac{678}{5}\nu v^2\dot{r}^2 + \frac{12}{5}\nu^2v^2\dot{r}^2 - 120\nu\dot{r}^4 \right) \\ &+ \frac{G^2m^2}{r^2} \left(\frac{164}{21}\nu v^2 + \frac{148}{5}\nu^2v^2 - \frac{82}{3}\nu\dot{r}^2 - \frac{848}{15}\nu^2\dot{r}^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{G^3m^3}{r^3} \left(-\frac{1060}{21}\nu - \frac{104}{5}\nu^2 \right) \right\}. \end{split}$$

Indirect Proof by Hulse and Taylor, binary pulsar (Nobel prize 1993)



Comparison between observations of the binary pulsar PSR1913+16, and the prediction of general relativity based on loss of orbital energy via gravitational waves



Gravitational Waves

What happens afterwards? Post-Newton Order "2.5"...





Post-Newtonian Dynamics Gravitational Wave Templates



Brem, Amaro-Seoane, Spurzem, MNRAS 2013

Handle spin-orbit and spin-spin coupling (P.Brem, R. Spurzem, Univ. Heidelberg)



Figure 3.12: Waveform for two objects with a mass ratio of q = 1/10 on an orbit with e = 0.5 and spins $a_{1,x} = 1.0$, $a_{2,y} = 1.0$.

EUROPEAN GRAVITATIONAL OBSERVATORY

(IO)) EGO



VIRGO Detector in Cascina near Pisa, Italy (LIGO USA, KAGRA Japan) LISA = Laser Space Interferometer Antenna



GW Detection Abott et al. 2016



Masses in the Stellar Graveyard



LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA | Aaron Geller | Northwestern

DRAGON-II Simulations using NBODY6++GPU

$$\left\langle \frac{da}{dt} \right\rangle = -\frac{64}{5} \frac{G^3 m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)}{c^5 a^3 (1 - e^2)^{7/2}} \left(1 + \frac{73}{24} e^2 + \frac{37}{96} e^4 \right),$$

$$\left\langle \frac{de}{dt} \right\rangle = -\frac{304}{15} \frac{G^3 m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)}{c^5 a^4 (1 - e^2)^{5/2}} \left(1 + \frac{121}{304} e^2 \right).$$

Orbit Averaged Post-Newtonian evolution of semi-major axis a, eccentricity e (Peters & Mathews 1963, Peters 1964)

$$\begin{split} \vec{v}_{\rm GW} &= v_m \hat{e}_{\perp,1} + v_{\perp} (\cos \xi \hat{e}_{\perp,1} + \sin \xi \hat{e}_{\perp,2}) + v_{\parallel} \hat{e}_{\parallel}, \\ v_m &= A \eta^2 \sqrt{1 - 4\eta} (1 + B\eta), \\ v_{\perp} &= \frac{H \eta^2}{1 + q_{\rm BBH}} \left(S_{2,\parallel} - q_{\rm BBH} S_{1,\parallel} \right), \\ v_{\parallel} &= \frac{16 \eta^2}{1 + q_{\rm BBH}} \left[V_{11} + V_A \Xi_{\parallel} + V_B \Xi_{\parallel}^2 + V_C \Xi_{\parallel}^3 \right] \times \\ &\times \left| \vec{S}_{2,\perp} - q_{\rm BBH} \vec{S}_{1,\perp} \right| \cos(\phi_{\Delta} - \phi_1). \end{split}$$

Black Hole Binary Mergers with relativistic kicks including spins

(DRAGON II, Arca Sedda et al. 2023ab, 2024)

DRAGON-II Simulations – Paper II using NBODY6++GPU



Arca Sedda et al. 2023abc: MNRAS:

19 models, up to 1 million stars, up to 33% initial hard binaries

Including GR kicks for mergers!

Figure 2. Formation of an IMBH in simulation with N = 120k, $R_{\rm HM} = 1.75$ pc, and $f_b = 0.2$, realization ID 0. Two massive primordial binaries undergo common envelope that eventually lead to the formation of two nearly equal mass BHs ($m_{\rm BH} \sim 95 {\rm M}_{\odot}$) that eventually find each other via a complex series of binary-binary interactions. The binary eventually merge and builds-up an IMBH with mass $m_{\rm IMBH} \simeq 191 {\rm M}_{\odot}$. The color-coded legend is ent colors correspond to different evolutionary stages: main sequence (MS), common envelope (CE), naked main sequence He star (MSHe), Hertzsprung gap (HG), core He burning (cHeb), and black hole (BH).

How DRAGON-II Black Hole Binaries Grav. Wave Emission Could be observed? (DRAGONII Papers, ArcaSedda et al. 2023ab, 2024)



Fig.: ArcaSedda et al. 2023c;

Time Axis: Sesana et al. 2016

Figure 7. Evolution of the binary GW strain as a function of the frequency for all mergers in DRAGON-II simulations, assuming that the sources are located at a redshift z = 0.05. The colormap identifies the binary eccentricity along its orbit. Dotted lines represent sensitivity curves for different detectors, from left to right: LISA, DECIGO, ET, and LIGO.

DRAGON-II Simulations – Paper III using NBODY6++GPU



Arca Sedda et al. 2023ab, 2024: MNRAS

19 models, up to 1 million stars, up to 33% initial hard binaries

Compact Object Mergers Compared with LIGO-Virgo GWTC-3 catalogue (grey symbols)

Mass ratio q vs. primary mass m1; colour code: secondary mass m2

grey shade: neutron star involved red Shade: mass gap

1) Introduction, History, Theory 2) Star Cluster Dynamics with **Black Holes and Gravitational Waves** 3) Nuclear Star Clusters 4) People, Code(s) and Hardware 5) Summary and References

Setting the stage: the galactic nucleus



Size ~ 10 Kpc Density ~ 0.05 $M_{sun} pc^{-3}$ Vel. Disp. ~ 40 Km/s Relaxation time ~ 10¹⁵ yrs.



Size ~ 10^{-7} - 10^{-4} pc Rs = 2G M_{BH}/c² Slide: R_t~(α M_{BH}/m_{*})^{1/3} R_{*} Miguel Loss cone aperture: θ Preto

Distribution of stars

Model: Panamarev, Just, Spurzem, Berczik, Wang, Arca Sedda 2019, MNRAS "DRAGON" of Galactic Center (one million bodies. SMBH. 1% hard binaries)



Stars moving Around the Central black Hole in our Galaxy

Max-Planck Inst. Extraterrestrische Physik Garching http://www.mpe.mpg.de/ir/GC/

Black Holes in Galaxies



DRAGON I Galactic Center Simulations



Initial Data:

1 million stars 10% fixed SMBH mass Zero age pop, 0.8 – 100 Spherical

Density Profiles of stars and *-mass Black Holes in the Galactic Center after 5 Gyr



FIGURE 4.3: Stellar density profiles at t = 5 Gyr for different stellar types. Thick solid lines correspond to: All - all stars, MS_{low} - low mass main sequence stars, MS - main sequence, RG - red giants, WD white dwarfs, BH - black holes. Corresponding power-law slopes fitted inside the initial and final influence radii of the SMBH are shown as dash-dotted and dotted lines of the same colour. The dashed vertical lines denote the initial influence radius (r = 1.4pc) and the influence radius at t = 5Gyr ($r \sim 2.8pc$) of the SMBH. The power-law indices fitted inside r = 1.4pc are shown in the legend.

Panamarev, Just, Spurzem, et al. 2019 Panamarev, ..., Just, Spurzem, 2018, MNRAS

Tidal Disruption of a star by a SMBH

Standard Picture



Tidal disruption radius (Tidal force=self-gravity force):

$$r_{\rm t} = \left(\frac{M_{\rm BH}}{m_*}\right)^{1/3} r_*$$

Δε: Spread in debris energy by tidal force

$$\Delta \epsilon = \frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{r_{\rm t}} \frac{r_{*}}{r_{\rm t}}$$

ε: Debris specific energy

if ε>=0	Stellar debris flies away from the black hole		
if ε< 0	Stellar debris is bounded by the black hole's gravity and falls back to black hole		

t: Fallback time for most tightly bound debris

$$t_{\rm fall}\sim 0.1\,{\rm yr}\left(\frac{r_*}{R_\odot}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{m_*}{M_\odot}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{M_{\rm BH}}{10^6M_\odot}\right)^{1/2}$$

What is the rate of mass fallback?

Slide by Kimitake Havasaki

Mass fallback rate I.



Tidal Disruption — an Efficient Tool

- Disrupted stars can "light up" the dormant SMBH
- Special light curves in supermassive black hole binary (SMBHB) systems: Liu et al. 2009, 2014, Ricarte et al. 2016, Coughlin et al. 2017
- Different fallback rate (light curve?) for different orbits:
 - The fallback rate for eccentric (ECC) parabolic (PARA) and hyperbolic (HYP) are significantly different. In the extreme cases, all (ALLFB) / none (NOFB) debris could fallback:

Hayasaki et al. 2013, 2018, Park & Hayasaki 2020

- Most of disrupted stars in galaxies with single SMBHs are close to parabolic orbits: *Zhong et al. in prep.*
- Different for SMBHBs ?





Slide by Shuo Li

Improvement 1: Partial and Full Tidal Disruption Events in Nuclear Star Cluster Simulations

From: Zhong, Li, Berczik, Spurzem, 2022, ApJ

Zhong et al.



THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 933:96 (13pp), 2022 July 1

Figure 4. Distribution of stars that produce PTDEs in the parameter space spanned by the mass (m_s) and specific orbital energy (E_{tot}) of the stars. For every PTDE, the values of m_s and E_{tot} are measured at the moment immediately before the onset of the event. Colors indicate the penetration factor β . The vertical solid line marks the position of the critical energy, and the corresponding physical radius is roughly 0.7 pc. For clarity, the vertical axis shows the quantity $1 - m_s/m_0$, where $m_0 = 1/N$ [*M*] is the initial mass of the star, and is set to log scale. However, with this configuration, the PTDEs produced by the normal stars are not visible in the figure because $1 - m_s/m_0 = 0$. We have artificially reset the value of $1 - m_s/m_0$ to 10^{-5} for the PTDEs produced by normal stars. The left panel shows the PTDEs after which the leftover stars are ejected, while the right panel shows the PTDEs after which the leftover stars are retained in the star cluster. In the left panel, we also plot the historical PTDEs (diamonds connected by lines) for three individual stars. This figure is generated from the data of one realization of the fiducial model.

More PTDE than FTDE – orbits diverse!

1) Introduction, History, Theory 2) Star Cluster Dynamics with **Black Holes and Gravitational Waves** 3) Nuclear Star Clusters 4) People, Code(s) and Hardware 5) Summary and References

Code(s) Nbody6++GPU

Nbody6++GPU

https://github.com/nbody6ppgpu (Spurzem & Kamlah 2023, LRCA) The Hermite Scheme: 4th Order on two time points

$$\vec{a}_0 = \sum_j Gm_j \frac{\vec{R}_j}{R_j^3} \ ; \ \vec{a}_0 = \sum_j Gm_j \left[\frac{\vec{V}_j}{R_j^3} - \frac{3(\vec{V}_j \cdot \vec{R}_j)\vec{R}_j}{R_j^5} \right] \, ,$$

$$\begin{split} \vec{x}_p(t) &= \frac{1}{6} (t-t_0)^3 \vec{\dot{a}}_0 + \frac{1}{2} (t-t_0)^2 \vec{a}_0 + (t-t_0) \vec{v} + \vec{x} \ , \\ \vec{v}_p(t) &= \frac{1}{2} (t-t_0)^2 \vec{\dot{a}}_0 + (t-t_0) \vec{a}_0 + \vec{v} \ , \end{split}$$

Repeat Step 1 at t_1 using predicted x, $v \rightarrow a_1$, a_1

Nbody6++GPU

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2}\vec{a}^{(2)} = -3\frac{\vec{a}_0 - \vec{a}_1}{(t - t_0)^2} - \frac{2\vec{\dot{a}}_0 + \vec{\dot{a}}_1}{(t - t_0)} \\ &\frac{1}{6}\vec{a}^{(3)} = 2\frac{\vec{a}_0 - \vec{a}_1}{(t - t_0)^3} - \frac{\vec{\dot{a}}_0 + \vec{\dot{a}}_1}{(t - t_0)^2} \ , \end{split}$$

The Hermite Step Get Higher Derivatives

$$\vec{x}(t) = \vec{x}_p(t) + \frac{1}{24}(t-t_0)^4 \vec{a}_0^{(2)} + \frac{1}{120}(t-t_0)^5 \vec{a}^{(3)} ,$$

$$\vec{v}(t) = \vec{v}_p(t) + \frac{1}{6}(t-t_0)^3 \vec{a}_0^{(2)} + \frac{1}{24}(t-t_0)^4 \vec{a}_0^{(3)} .$$

The Corrector Step – this is not time symmetric! (but can be made so by iteration if desirable)

Nbody6++GPU



Hierarchical Block Time Steps

S.J.Aarseth, S. Mikkola,
J. Hurley, R. Spurzem,
L. Wang, ... (ca. 20.000 lines):
Hierarchical Block Time Steps
Ahmad-Cohen Scheme
Regularisations
4th order Hermite scheme

•NBODY6 (Aarseth 1999)
•NBODY6++ (Spurzem 1999) MPI
•NBODY6++GPU (Wang, Spurzem, Aarseth et al. 2015)

$$\Delta t = \sqrt{\eta \frac{|\vec{a}| |\vec{a}^{(2)}| + |\vec{\dot{a}}|^2}{|\vec{\dot{a}}| |\vec{a}^{(3)}| + |\vec{a}^{(2)}|^2}}$$

NBODY1 – NBODY7: "The Growth of an Industry" (Aarseth 1999)

	ITS	ACS	KS	HITS	PN	AR	CC	MPI	GPU
Nbody1	\checkmark								
NBODY2	-02	\checkmark		\checkmark					
NBODY3	\checkmark		\checkmark						
NBODY4			\checkmark	\checkmark					
NBODY5	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark						
NBODY6		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark					
NBODY6GPU		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark	
NBODY6++		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark		
NBODY6++GPU	8	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
NBODY7		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark

ITS: Individual time-steps [107]

ACS: Ahmad-Cohen neighbour scheme [109]

KS: KS-regularization of few-body subsystems [104]

HITS: Hermite scheme integration method combined with hierarchical block time-steps [111]

PN: Post-Newtonian [150, 125, 151]

AR: Algorithmic regularization [125]

CC: Classical chain regularization [114]

MPI: Message Passing Interface, multi-node multi-CPU parallelization [139]

GPU: use of GPU acceleration [138] (if also MPI: multi-node many GPU [144])

Berczik, Spurzem, et al., LNCS 2013; Table from: Spurzem, Kamlah 2023, LRCA

NBODY6++GPU: <u>https://github.com/nbody6ppgpu/</u>

Part of https://www.punch4nfdi.de/ PUNCH4NFDI Consortium w. Jülich

Year	Keyword	Reference	=
1961	Force polynomial	(Aarseth, 1963)	-
	Individual time steps	(Aarseth, 1963)	
	Gravitaitonal softening	(Aarseth, 1963)	
1966	Spherical harmonics	(Aarseth, 1967)	
1969	Two-body regularization	(Kustaanheimo & Stiefel, 1965)	From [.]
1972	Three-body regularization	(Aarseth & Zare, 1974)	
1973	Global regularization	(Heggie, 1974)	Spurzom
	Neighbor scheme	(Ahmad & Cohen, 1973)	Spuizein,
1978	Co-moving coordinates	(Aarseth, 1979)	
1979	Regularized AC	(Aarseth, 1985)	Kamlah,
1980	Planetary formation	(Lecar & Aarseth, 1986)	
1986	Hierarchical block-time steps	(McMillan, 1986)	Livina
1989	Chain regularization	(Mikkola & Aarseth, 1990)	=9
1990	Particle in box scheme	(Aarseth, Lin, & Palmer, 1993)	D ονίον
1991	Collisional tree code	(McMillan & Aarseth, 1993)	NEVIEW
1992	Chain N-body interface	(Aarseth, 1994)	
1993	Hermite integration	(Makino, 1991)	Computational
1995	Synthetic stellar evolution	(Tout et al., 1997)	·
	Tidal circularization	(Mardling, 1995a, 1995b)	Astrophysics
	Slow chain regularization	(Mikkola & Aarseth, 1998)	
1996	Hierarchical stability	(Mardling & Aarseth, 1999)	Vol 9 nn 3-109
1998	Evolution of hierarchies	(Mardling & Aarseth, 1999)	voi. 5, pp. 5 ±05
	Stumpff KS method	(Mikkola & Aarseth, 1998)	2022
1999	HARP-6 procedures	(Aarseth, 1999a)	2023
	Sympletic integrators	(Mikkola & Tanikawa, 1999b, 1999a)	
	NBODY6++ SPMD / MPI acceleration	(Spurzem, 1999)	
2000	Single stellar evolution - SSE	(Hurley, Pols, & Tout, 2000)	
2002	Binary stellar evolution - BSE	(Hurley, Tout, & Pols, 2002)	
2003	GRAPE-6 procedures	(Makino et al., 2003)	
2006	2.5PN in NBODY5	(Kupi, Amaro-Seoane, & Spurzem, 2006)	
2007	direct N-body GPU acceleration	(Portegies Zwart, Belleman, & Geldof, 2007)	
2008	AR with Post-Newtonian terms	(Mikkola & Merritt, 2008)	
2010	Updated AR for few-body problems	(Hellström & Mikkola, 2010)	
2012	NBODY codes GPU acceleration	(Nitadori & Aarseth, 2012)	
2013	MPI acceleration on GPU clusters / PHIGPU	(Berczik et al., 2013)	
	3.5PN in NBODY6	(Brem, Amaro-Seoane, & Spurzem, 2013)	
2015	SSE/AVX acceleration on GPU clusters	(Wang et al., 2015)	
2017	Sympletic integrators (FSI)	(Dehnen & Hernandez, 2017)	
2020	P ³ T with SDAR in PeTar	(Wang, Nitadori, & Makino, 2020a; Wang et	al., 2020a)
2021	Minimum spanning tree MSTAR/BiFROST	(Rantala, Naab, & Springel, 2021)	

 Table 5.1: Table showing important algorithmic, hardware and software development stepping stones in the development of direct

 N-body codes. The table is adapted from Aarseth (1999a), corrected in some places, but expanded to more recent developments.

Code (Stellar Evolution) SSE BSE and Updates

Single Stellar Evolution Binary Stellar Evolution (Multiple Stellar Evolution, MSE, Future)

Most NBODY Codes ...

Hurley, Ph.D. thesis



Parameterized stellar evolution tracks (IFMR for neutron stars and white dwarfs)

(SSE++/BSE++ from Kamlah et al. 2022 and Spurzem & Kamlah, Living Reviews Comp. Astroph. 2023)

• updated metallicity dependent core-collapse SNe, their remnant masses and fallback (Fryer et al. 2012; Banerjee et al. 2020),

• updated electron-capture supernovae (ECSNe), accretioninduced collapse (AIC) and merger-induced collapse (MIC) remnant masses and natal kicks (Nomoto 1984, 1987; Nomoto & Kondo 1991; Saio & Nomoto 1985, 2004; Kiel et al. 2008; Gessner & Janka 2018)

• (P)PISNe remnant masses (Belczynski et al. 2010, 2016; Woosley 2017),

• updated fallback-scaled natal kicks for NSs and BHs (Fuller et al. 2003; Scheck et al. 2004; Fryer 2004; Fryer & Kusenko 2006; Meakin & Arnett 2006, 2007; Fryer & Young 2007; Scheck et al. 2008; Fryer et al. 2012; Banerjee et al. 2020),

 and BH natal spins (see also Belczynski et al. (2020); Belczynski & Banerjee (2020)) from

- Geneva model (Eggenberger et al. 2008; Ekström et al. 2012; Banerjee et al. 2020; Banerjee 2021b),

MESA model (Spruit 2002; Paxton et al. 2011, 2015; Banerjee et al. 2020; Banerjee 2021b),

 and the Fuller model (Fuller & Ma 2019; Fuller et al. 2019; Banerjee et al. 2020; Banerjee 2021b). ECSN = electron capture Supernova AIC = accretion induced collapse

MIC = merger induced Collapse

PISN = pair instability Supernova PPISN = pulsating PISN

NS = neutron star BH = black hole

MESA = recent stellar evolution model Parameterized stellar evolution tracks (IFMR for neutron stars and white dwarfs) (SSE++/BSE++ from Kamlah et al. 2022)



Codes

Parallelization Supercomputing GPU Computing

Our own φGRAPE/GPU N-body code





NBODY6++GPU

https://github.com/nbody6ppgpu/Nbody6PPGPU-beijing





 Table 1
 Main components of NBODY6++

D	Timing	Expected scaling			
Description	variable	N	N_p	Fitting value [sec]	
Regular force computation	$T_{\rm reg}$	$\mathcal{O}(N_{\mathrm{reg}} \cdot N)$	$\mathcal{O}(N_p^{-1})$	$(2.2 \cdot 10^{-9} \cdot N^{2.11} + 10.43) \cdot N_p^{-1}$	
Irregular force computation	$T_{ m irr}$	$\mathcal{O}(N_{\mathrm{irr}} \cdot \langle N_{nb} \rangle)$	$\mathcal{O}(N_p^{-1})$	$(3.9 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot N^{1.76} - 16.47) \cdot N_p^{-1}$	
Prediction	$T_{\rm pre}$	$\mathcal{O}(N^{kn_p})$	$\mathcal{O}(N_p^{-kp_p})$	$(1.2 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot N^{1.51} - 3.58) \cdot N_p^{-0.5}$	
Data moving	$T_{\rm mov}$	$\mathcal{O}(N^{kn_{m1}})$	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot N^{1.29} - 0.28$	
MPI communication (regular)	$T_{ m mcr}$	$\mathcal{O}(N^{kn_{cr}})$	$\mathcal{O}(kp_{cr} \cdot \frac{N_p - 1}{N_p})$	$(3.3 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot N^{1.18} + 0.12)(1.5 \cdot \frac{N_p - 1}{N_p})$	
MPI communication (irregular)	$T_{ m mci}$	$\mathcal{O}(N^{kn_{ci}})$	$\mathcal{O}(kp_{ci} \cdot \frac{N_p - 1}{N_p})$	$(3.6 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot N^{1.40} + 0.56)(1.5 \cdot \frac{N_p - 1}{N_p})$	
Synchronization	$T_{ m syn}$	$\mathcal{O}(N^{kn_s})$	$\mathcal{O}(N_p^{kp_s})$	$(4.1 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot N^{1.34} + 0.07) \cdot N_p$	
Sequential parts on host	$T_{\rm host}$	$\mathcal{O}(N^{kn_h})$	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$4.4 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot N^{1.49} + 1.23$	

NBODY6++GPU with up to 16M particles (Benchmarks on raven at MPCDF)



Figure 6: Benchmark results and extrapolated scaling for NBODY6++GPU, initial Plummer model, on the raven cluster at MPCDF, see main text. Left: Total time for one NBODY model unit in secs; Right: Speed-Up compared to using one GPU only. In both cases different curves for particle numbers from 64k to 16m. Ideal Speedup is the diagonal dashed lines, other dashed lines extrapolations from the timing model.

PeTar: a high-performance *N*-body code for modeling massive collisional stellar systems

Long Wang,^{1,2*} Masaki Iwasawa,^{2,3} Keigo Nitadori² and Junichiro Makino^{2,4}

¹Department of Astronomy, School of Science, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan

²*RIKEN Center for Computational Science*, 7-1-26 *Minatojima-minami-machi, Chuo-ku, Kobe, Hyogo* 650-0047, *Japan*

³National Institute of Technology, Matsue College, 14-4, Nishi-ikuma-cho, Matsue, Shimane 690-8518, Japan

⁴Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, 1-1 Rok, Slide 50 tho, Nada-ku, Kobe, Hyogo 657-8501, Japan

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

New competition 1: PeTar: MNRAS 2020

ABSTRACT

The numerical simulations of massive collisional stellar systems, such as globular clusters (GCs), are very time-consuming. Until now, only a few realistic million-body simulations of GCs with a small fraction of binaries (5%) have been performed by using the NBODY6++GPU code. Such models took half a year computational time on a GPU based super-

FROST: a momentum-conserving CUDA implementation of a hierarchical fourth-order forward symplectic integrator

Antti Rantala¹*, Thorsten Naab¹, Volker Springel¹

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 1, D-85748, Garching, Germany

New competition 2: FROST: MNRAS 2021

Slide 50

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

We present a novel hierarchical formulation of the fourth-order forward symplectic integrator and its numerical implementation in the GPU-accelerated direct-summation N-body code FROST. The new integrator is especially suitable for simulations with a large dynamical range due to its hierarchical nature. The strictly positive integrator sub-steps in a fourth-

Supercomputers

HARDWARE

...before von Neumann...

Konrad Zuse (1910-1995) Berlin



Invented freely programmable Computer



Z1 in parental flat 1936

A special-purpose computer for gravitational many-body problems Nature 1990

Daiichiro Sugimoto^{*}, Yoshihiro Chikada[†], Junichiro Makino^{*}, Tomoyoshi Ito^{*}, Toshikazu Ebisuzaki^{*} & Masayuki Umemura[‡]

* Department of Earth Science and Astronomy, College of Arts and Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3–8–1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153, Japan † Nobeyama Radio Observatory, Minamimaki-mura, Minamisaku-gun, Nagano 384–13, Japan

‡ National Astronomical Observatory, Mitaka, Tokyo 181, Japan

A processor has been constructed using a 'pipeline' architecture to simulate many-body systems with long-range forces. It has a speed equivalent to 120 megaflops, and the architecture can be readily parallelized to make teraflop machines a feasible possibility. The machine can be adapted to study molecular dynamics, plasma dynamics and astrophysical hydrodynamics with only minor modifications. (very-large-scale puter is construc lem is to devel construction and of physical syste to these apparent ted in the compu GRAPE-1 achie XMP/1 at a cost

The pipeline a The N-body pro

GRAPE-6 Gravity/Coulomb Part

- G6 Chip: 0.25μ 2MGate ASIC, 6 Pipelines
- at 90MHz, 31Gflops/chip
- 48Tflops full system (March 2002)
- Plan up to 72Tflops full system (in 2002)
- Installed in Cambridge, Marseille, Drexel, Amsterdam, New York (AMNH), Mitaka (NAO), Tokyo, etc..

Jun Makino with GRAPE6 → (2002)



NAOC laohu cluster 64 Kepler K20



Laohu: 2009/2015 (Kepler GPU) 100 Tflop/s 150k cores

New GPUs 5-6 times faster... (see below)



GPU Clusters used:

Kepler Cluster Heidelberg, Germany (12x Kepler GPU, each ~2400 GPU cores) Hansolo/Obiwan GPU Servers Beijing, China (4x GTX 2080 GPU, each ~4300 GPU cores) **JUWELS Booster GPU Partition (Ampere A100 GPU)** (936 x 4 Ampere A100 GPU, each ~7000 GPU cores) Raven GPU Cluster of Max-Planck Computing and Data Facility

Peter Berczik, golowood Cluster Main Astron. Observatory Kiev, Ukraine

MPCDF Garching

German





JUWELS Booster 936 nodes (AMD CPU, 4x Ampere GPU) ~450.000 AMD cores, 25 million NVIDIA Ampere GPU cores ~ 70 Pflop/s SP ~ 44 Pflop/s DP

No. 12 in top500 list, No. 25 in green500 list

Jülich Wizard for European Leadership Science



LUMI Supercomputer, Kajaani, Finland

Using only Hydroelectric Power and its Heat used for heating buildings.

No. 3 in top500 No. 7 in green500

2.2 million cores 10.000 AMD GPUs





EuroHPC and LUMI consortium:

Finland, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Iceland, Norway, Poland, Sweden, and Switzerland.

China New Computing Technology 2

操作系统 (Linux/Windows/Mac)

NVIDIA GPU

V100的全面国产替代 ——海光DCU Z100L 面向人工智能的 GPGPU加速卡

wrzzy 服务器厂家



简单介绍一下海光的这款产品

DCU (Deep Computing Unit) Z100L 是一款面向人工智能、科学计算的高性能全功能GPGPU加速 卡。

ŧ	规格参数	Z100I	L及Z100L-LP		
应用场景		全精度支持 AI优化			
适	配服务器	2U/4U风冷服务器			
物加技心。	计算核心		3840		
构朱核心	频率	1319MHz	1600MHz		
	FP64	10.1TFlops			
性能指标	FP32	10.1TFlops	12.2TFlops		
	FP16	20.2TFlops	24.5TFlops		
	INT8	40.5TFlops	49.1TFlop		
方は加枚	显存容量	32GB HBM2			
仔谊规俗 显存带宽		1024 GB/s			
按口米刑	PCIe 接口	PCIe 4.0 x16			
GPU 互联		xHMI互联 184GB/s			
最大功耗	TDP	250W 280W			
尺寸规格	尺寸	全高全长双宽			

Hygon CPU/DCU (Deep Computing Unit) (~ AMD EPYC/AMD GPU HIP programming language

应用程序

用户态驱动

内核态驱动

m 译作@ mzzy

CudaDTKGPU ApplicationGPU ApplicationCUDA ProgramHIP ProgramCUDA LibraryHIP LibraryCUDA RuntimeHIP RuntimeCUDA driverROC Thunk Inteface

CUDA数学库	DTK数学库	数学库功能	
udnn	miopen	深度学习基础数学库	
cublas	rocblas/hipblas	基础矩阵运算数学库	
nccl	rccl	通信库	
		Carlo and and an	

	Juwels-Booster	Beijing DCU cluster ("东方"超算)
CPU	48 core, AMD EPYC 7402	30 core, HYGON C86 7185
GPU	4 x NVIDIA A100 GPU	4 x HYGON COULD DCU
#Node used	1	1
#MPI proc	4	4
Code version	CUDA (standard GitHub)	HIP
Time to run 1M body for 1nbody time	810 seconds	1605 seconds

← last week

Kai Wu preliminary results: 1st benchmarks of Nbody6++GPU on Chinese DCU

ROC Kerner Driver

操作系统(Linux(x64))

DCU

1) Introduction, History, Theory 2) Star Cluster Dynamics with **Black Holes and Gravitational Waves** 3) Nuclear Star Clusters 4) People, Code(s) and Hardware 5) Summary and References

<u>Summary Message</u>

Massive Star Clusters:

- Direct N-Body Simulations of star clusters give LIGO/Virgo Sources (are consistent with them, it does not mean all sources are from star clusters)
- Necessary Input: single/binary stellar evolution / relativistic (PN) dynamics
- Still very long computing time for few models (in spite of GPU, Lumi, ...)

Monte Carlo Models (MOCCA, Warsaw, M. Giersz, CMC, Northwestern, F. Rasio) needed to get good sweep of parameter space. comparison with N-Body

Nuclear Star Clusters:

- Observable Tidal Disruption Events (TDE)
- Light Curves correspond to dynamics of TDE
- Future Work: relativistic inspirals
- Future Work: pulsars
- Future Work: star disk



DRAGON I Simulation Wang, Spurzem, Aarseth, et al. 2015, 2016

DRAGON II Simulation

Arca Sedda, Kamlah, Spurzem, et al. 2023ab, 2024

https://astro-silkroad.eu https://github.com/nbody6ppgpu Also in: https://www.punch4nfdi.de/

<u> The Future - DRAGON III 1m – 8m (16m?)</u>

Only few models, 6-12 months

Request for Comments, Feedback, Initial Conditions: Call will go out, or contact

spurzem@ari.uni-heidelberg.de spurzem@nao.cas.cn

- Globular Cluster (GC) Simulations: Init. Density, IMF, Binaries (wide?), Rotation, Populations, Tides, GC orbit, specific clusters, ...
- Nuclear Star Cluster (NSC) Simulations: add TDE, EMRI, direct capture, partial TDE, partial accretion to SMBH.



Team & Collaborators as below and further: Francesco Flammini Dotti, Kai Wu, Li Shuo, Zhong Shiyan, Peter Berczik, Manuel Arca Sedda (GSSI), Thorsten Naab (MPA), Mirek Giersz (CAMK) PhD students: Vahid Amiri, Marcelo Vergara, Philip Cho

DRAGON simulations – globular and nuclear star clusters

- DRAGON simulation: PhD thesis Long Wang, KIAA/PKU, awarded for first realistic globular cluster simulation using <u>NBODY6++GPU</u> with one million stars and many binaries (Wang, Spurzem, Aarseth, et al., MNRAS 2016).
- The Dragon-II simulations Paper III. Compact binary mergers in clusters with up to 1 million stars: mass, spin, eccentricity, merger rate and pair instability supernovae rate

(Arca Sedda, M., Kamlah, A. W. H., Spurzem, R., et al.) arXiv e-prints arXiv:2307.04807, Paper II: MNRAS 525, 429 (2023), Paper I: arXiv e-prints arXiv:2307.04805

NBODY6++GPU and more, current state:

• <u>Spurzem, R., Kamlah A.W.H. Direct N-body simulations, in Living Rev. in Comp.</u> <u>Astrophysics 9, id.3 (2023) (NBODY7 see also Banerjee, Sambaran papers)</u>

Direct Nuclear Star Cluster Models with SMBH and TDE:

- DRAGON simulation of the Galactic Center, PhD thesis of Taras Panamarev, ARI/ZAH Univ. of Heidelberg (Panamarev, Just, Spurzem, Berczik, Wang, Arca Sedda, MNRAS 2019), simple TDE
- Revisit the Rate of Tidal Disruption Events: The Role of the Partial Tidal Disruption Event (Zhong, S., Li, S., Berczik, P., Spurzem, R.) 933, 96 (2022), TDE improved 1
- Marija Minzburg, Philip Cho: Master Thesises Heidelberg 2023, publication in progress, TDE improved 2 and 3.

Some other papers and collaborators may be mentioned:

- Rizzuto, Naab, Spurzem et al. (2021, 2022) precursor of DRAGON II but no GW kicks, no TDE
- Li, Zhong, Berczik, Spurzem, Chen, Liu (MNRAS 2023 and earlier): merging nuclei with TDE